

#### High-Level Radioactive Waste and and the *Nuclear Energy Renaissance By Robert Alvarez Institute for Policy Studies November 7, 2008*

Conference on 'The nuclear energy revival: regional perspectives and governance challenges' Centre for International Governance Innovation Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

# Challenges

Safe and Secure Storage
Safe Disposal
Proliferation Risks
Costs
Engineering and construction
Geological disposal

# Composition of Spent Fuel by Weight



# What About Spent Fuel?





Dry Casks

An almost full spent-fuel storage pool

# Risks of Densely Compacted Spent Fuel Pools

*"it is not prudent to dismiss nuclear plants, including spent fuel storage facilities as undesirable targets for terrorists...* 

*"under some conditions, <u>a terrorist attack that</u> <i>partially or completely drained a spent fuel pool could lead to a propagating zirconium cladding fire and release large quantities of radioactive materials to the environment."* 

National Research Council, Committee on the Safety and Security of Commercial Spent Nuclear Fuel Storage(2005)

#### MACCS2 Code Prediction for hot pool fire that released 35 MCi of cesium-137 into a 10-mph steady wind

>1000 Ci/km <sup>2</sup> (>10%risk of radiation-caused cancer death)							>100 Ci/km <sup>2</sup> (>1% risk of radiation-caused cancer death)						175  125  75		
				-										Crosswind (k	
[ 25	 100	 175	 250	 325	1	 475	 550	 625	 700	 775	 850	 925	—125 — —175		
	100		200	020	100	Downwir	nd (km)	020	100		000	020			

Area: 27,000 sq. miles (Maryland + New Jersey + Massachusetts) Losses would be hundreds of billions of dollars.:

Source: Science and Global Security, 11:1–51, 2003

## Nuclear Waste Disposal



#### Proposed Yucca Mountain Project in Nevada

The schedule for the proposed Yucca Mountain disposal site in Nevada has slipped almost more than two decades past the original opening date of January 1998.



Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management



# The Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

 Sell reactors to developing nations



 Take back reactor spent fuel to U.S.

 Reprocess foreign and U.S. spent fuel at a single site.

 Reduce disposal of high-level wastes and "burn up" plutonium

#### ONCE-THROUGH FUEL-CYCLE FOR NATURAL URANIUM REACTORS



**Federal Final Disposition** 

#### CLOSED FUEL-CYCLE FULL RECYCLE OF PLUTONIUM AND URANIUM



**Federal Final Disposition** 

#### "Once Through" and "Closed" Nuclear Fuel Cycle Policies

•<u>1950's to 1977</u> -The U.S. Government (AEC), advocates a "closed" nuclear fuel cycle.

•<u>1974</u>- India detonates nuclear explosion with plutonium obtained from "peaceful" atomic energy.

•<u>1977</u>- President Carter bans reprocessing in the U.S. Intact spent fuel rods were to be sent directly to a repository – a "once through" nuclear fuel cycle.

•<u>1981</u> - President Reagan lifts ban on reprocessing, but the "closed" fuel cycle collapses in the U.S.

• <u>1992</u>- President Bush ceases weapons reprocessing and opposes reprocessing of U.S. spent fuel.

 <u>1993</u>- President Clinton issues policy statement discouraging the use of plutonium as a fuel.

## GNEP FUEL CYCLE AND Waste Streams





#### Figure 1 GNEP Disposal Plan Leaves Hottest Waste on the Surface

#### Strontium-90 and Cesium-137

- -- Dangerous for hundreds of years
- -- Over two thirds of the radioactivity
- -- Main Source of Heat in spent fuel

#### Cesium-135

half-life= 2.3 million years and dominates human doses in about 600 years.

# **GNEP Technological Hurdles**



Lack of proliferation resistant barriers

Lack of credible waste plan

Testing of the UREX+ technology is at one-one millionth of commercial size.

Capture, storage and disposal of radioactive gas discharges (i.e. Krypton-85, and Iodine-129) Actinide fuel fabrication and performance

# **Operating Reprocessing Plants**

- France La Hague 1,700 MT/yr
- Japan– Tokai 30-40 MT/yr (800 MT/r planned)
- Russia Mayak (RT1)–120-150 MT/yr
- UK -- Thorp 800-900 MT/yr
   India 100 MT/yr

Source: IAEA TECDOC 1529 February 2007.

# Reprocessing and Spent Fuel World Wide 2003



Source: IAEA TECDOC 1529 February 2007.

## What about "Fast" Reactors?



• The "closed" nuclear cycle was based on the assumption that global uranium supplies would be depleted by 2000.

• Over the past 50 years, at least 15 "fast" reactors have been closed due to costs and accidents in the U.S., France, Germany, England, and Japan.

• Russia has the only operating fast reactor, but it has experienced 15 sodium fires in 23 years.

# What About MOX?



<u>The net</u> <u>average of</u> <u>plutonium that</u> <u>is "burned up"</u> <u>in MOX fuel is</u> <u>~20% per</u> <u>reactor load</u>.

MOX spent fuel is not being reprocessed in France.

According to the French government in 2000, <u>the use of</u> <u>plutonium in</u> <u>existing reactors</u> <u>doubles the cost of</u> <u>disposal</u>.

## Plutonium Stocks and the Unfulfilled Promise of "Fast Reactors"



### Global Uranium Recycling 2007



**power reactors.**" Source: IAEA TECDOC 1529 February 2007.



# COSTS

In 1996, a panel of the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) concluded that that reprocessing and transmutation would cost as much as <u>\$700 billion (2008</u> dollars) and take 150 years.

 In 2007 the Academy tossed cold water on the Bush administration's nuclear recycling effort by concluding that "there is <u>no economic justification</u> for going forward with this program at anything approaching a commercial scale."

# CONCLUSION

• The United States should re-establish its policy of discouraging reprocessing to stem proliferation risks.

• Spent fuel can be safely stored in dry-hardened storage modes for 100 years at less expense than the "closed" fuel cycle.

 The practice of densely compacted spent fuel pools in the U.S. should be phased out.

 <u>The parameters defining growth of nuclear energy</u> <u>should include credible disposal of high-level radioactive</u> <u>wastes.</u>